



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/770,888

02/03/2004

Paul Martin Schulte

NTS 0102 PUS

1089

22045

7590

10/12/2006

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 TOWN CENTER
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075

EXAMINER

TALBOT, MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3722

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,888	SCHULTE, PAUL MARTIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael W. Talbot	3722	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael W. Talbot (USPTO).

(3) Mr. Michael D. Turner (attorney).

(2) Mr. Daniel W. Howell (USPTO).

(4) Mr. Paul Schulte and Mr. Chris Schulte (inventors).

Date of Interview: 03 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1,6,28 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: 2002/0090273 (Serwa) & 3,863,316 (Yeo).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed upon by all parties to make the following changes to the independent claims 1,28 and 29: (1) include the limitation of claim 6 directed towards a bearing support so as to more specifically target reamers in lieu of other rotary cutting tools and to overcome the prior art of record listed above, (2) add more structure to the method claim 29 to support the additional limitations of claim 6, and (3) include a tolerance range supported by the original disclosure. Upon receipt of Applicant's proposed amendments, Examiner will conduct a more targeted search of the amended claims to determine patentability.